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## The Master Plan Process



Strategic Kick-Off

- Critical Success Factors
- Key focus areas
- Meeting schedule
- Identification of

Key Stakeholders

- Gathering of All Relevant Documents
- Briefing with

Decision Makers
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## Master Plan Update

$\checkmark$ Strategic Kick-off: - October 10, 2021
$\checkmark$ Leadership Interviews - October 13-15, 2021
$\checkmark$ Survey - October 2021 - January 2022
$\checkmark$ Community Engagement - November 2021
$\checkmark$ Focus Groups - November 16-18, 2021
$\checkmark$ Public Presentation - November 18, 2021
$\checkmark$ Inventory - November 2021
$\checkmark$ Level of Service Analysis - December 2021
aCommunity Center Feasibility Study -
November 2021 - April 2022
aCost Recovery Study - January-May 2022
aFindings Presentation - February 23-24, 2022
-Draft Recommendations Presentation - May 2022


PARKS AND RECREATION MASTER PLAN
-Draft \& Final Plan Presentation - July 2022

## Demographic Overview



## Trends Overview



The pickleball explosion. ... State of play: Pickleball participation grew by 21.3\% between 2019 and 2020,
prompting the Economist to declare it "the fastest growing sport in America." 4.2 million Americans now play at least once a year. Nov 15, 2021

## Trends Overview

## Recreation Centers Play <br> an Important Role in Communities Nationwide

Per a recent NRPA poll, Americans urge their local recreation center to offer a wide variety of nontraditional services, including
 Living Class
51\%


Access to
Computers and the Internet


46\%


Inclusive Facilites for All Abilities and Needs

$\square$ Health Clinics and Services 38\%

The Seven Economic Benefits of Parks
$\qquad$
Free and low cost recreation (compared to cost of private market)
Medical Savings; Parks help maintain and improve mental and physical health
Stronger relationships with community members which leads to social capital
Lower storm water treatment costs with improved management of runoff
Reduce health care costs by absorbing air pollutants

These are in addition to services traditionally offered by park and recreation agencies - including fitness centers out-of-school time programming and aquatic facilities.

Because everyone deserves a great par
www.nrpa.org/Park-Pulse


## Public Engagement

## Public Engagement included:

- On site November 16-18, 2021
- 6 focus groups consisting of 39 participants
- Interviews with ten elected officials and stakeholders
- Staff interviews and SWOT Workshop
- Parks \& Recreation Commission Briefing
- Tours of parks and facilities
- Open public forum in person and virtually with 18 people in attendance


## Focus Group Strengths

## Strengths

Staff/leadership approachable, responsive, open to new ideas, follow through

Diversity of offerings (facilities and programs [movies in the park])

Location of parks well planned, bikeable, for everyone, parks are an asset

Partnerships (Library, other Departments, Organizations)



# Focus Groups Areas of Improvement 



64\% of participants were very satisfied or satisfied with current programs offered by the department

82\% of participants rated the level of maintenance for parks and facilities as excellent or very good
$86 \%$ of participants were very satisfied or satisfied with current quality of parks and facilities offered by the department

65\% of participants rated the quality of customer service as excellent with another $19 \%$ rating it as very good

## Focus Group Desired Programs

Desired Programs and Activities


## Focus Group Improvements to Existing



## Focus Group Additional Facilities

Additional Facilities and Amenities


## Focus Group Priorities



## Survey Methodology

## 3,500 Surveys Mailed (3,444 delivered)

## Primary methods:

1 = Statistically Valid (Invitation Survey)
Mailed survey with an option to complete online through password protected website.

312 - surveys completed
+/- 5.5\% Margin of Error
2 = Open Link Survey
Online survey available to all residents of the City of Meridian.


378 - Open Link Surveys Completed

## Visitation to Facilities and Parks

Q 4: Which of the following facilities or parks provided by the City of Meridian have you or members of your household used in the past 12 months? (Check all that apply)


The most popular parks for both samples are Settlers Park and Julius M. Kleiner Memorial Park. Meridian Homecourt and Reta Huskey Park are more frequented by the Open link sample. The Community Center ranked lowest for the Invite sample, however 10\% of the Open link sample said they have visited in the last 12 months.

## Best Communication Methods

Both samples agree that emails from the city, social media, Meridian Parks and Recreation Activity Guide, and the City of Meridian website are the best methods for communication about parks and recreation opportunities. The interest in all the different methods suggests that residents prefer a variety of communication methods.

Q 9: What are the best ways to reach you with information on parks, recreation facilities and services? (Check up to 3)


## Household Needs

Q 7: Please indicate whether your household has a need for the following by checking the boxes


For household needs, nearly every category showed some interest, with community events topping the list at $57 \%$, followed by fitness and wellness programs (38\%) and swim lessons/aquatic programs (35\%).

## Facilities and Amenities - Importance

## Average

Q 10: Please rate how important the following facilities/amenities and programs/services are to your household. Please provide an answer whether or not you have used the facility or service. Facilities and Amenities:
*All Only


## Programs and Services - Importance

## By Average

There are similar responses between both samples, however, Open Link respondents feel that adult sports are more important.

Q 10: Please rate how important the following facilities/amenities and programs/services are to your household. Please provide an answer whether or not you have used the facility or service. Programs and Services:
*All Only


## Indoor Facilities - Greatest Needs

## By Average

Both samples agree that the greatest need for an indoor facility is a community/recreation center followed by an indoor aquatics facility. There is less desire for an ice rink.

Q 13: What are the the greatest needs for indoor and outdoor facilities to be added, expanded, or improved in Meridian over the next 5 or 10 years? Indoor Facilities:
*All Only

| Rating Category | Invite Sample |  | Open Link |  |  | Overall |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Community/recreation center | $\mathrm{n}=273$ | 3.9 | $\mathrm{n}=269$ |  | 4.0 | $\mathrm{n}=542$ | 4.0 |
| Indoor aquatics facility | n=268 | 3.8 | $\mathrm{n}=263$ |  | 3.7 | n=531 | 3.7 |
| Fieldhouse/gymnasium space | $\mathrm{n}=257$ | 3.6 | $\mathrm{n}=260$ |  | 3.6 | n=517 | 3.6 |
| Performing arts center | $\mathrm{n}=265$ | 3.3 | $\mathrm{n}=255$ |  | 3.5 | n=520 | 3.4 |
| Ice rink | $\mathrm{n}=265$ | 3.0 | $\mathrm{n}=255$ | 2.8 |  | n=520 | 2.9 |

## Future Needs-Indoor Facilities

Future needs for indoor and outdoor facilities are similar across survey years.

Q 13: What are the the greatest needs for indoor and outdoor facilities to be added, expanded, or improved in Meridian over the next 5 or 10 years? Indoor Facilities:


## Outdoor Facilities - Greatest Needs

## By Average

Q 13: What are the the greatest needs for indoor and outdoor facilities to be added, expanded, or improved in Meridian over the next 5 or 10 years? Outdoor Facilities:
*All Only


## Future Needs-Outdoor Facilities

Q 13: What are the the greatest needs for indoor and outdoor facilities to be added, expanded, or improved in Meridian over the next 5 or 10 years? Outdoor Facilities:


## Allocation of funding

Q 19: With $\$ 5$ increments being the smallest amount you might use, if you had $\$ 100$ to spend on parks and recreation facilities, services and/or programs, how would you allocate that $\$ 100$ across the following categories?
*All Only

| Rating Category | Invite Sample |  |  |  | Open Link |  | Overall |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Add more pathways | $\mathrm{n}=274$ |  |  | \$19.54 | $n=242$ | \$13.39 | n=516 |  | \$16.67 |
| Make improvements and/or renovate and maintain existing park facilities | $\mathrm{n}=274$ |  | \$14.13 |  | n=242 | \$9.32 | n=516 | \$11.88 |  |
| Expand aquatics (indoor or outdoor pool, splash pads, etc ) | $\mathrm{n}=274$ |  | \$13.91 |  | $n=242$ | \$8.12 | $\mathrm{n}=516$ | \$11.21 |  |
| Add new parks | $\mathrm{n}=274$ | \$9.46 |  |  | n=242 | \$9.00 | $\mathrm{n}=516$ | \$9.25 |  |
| Recreation center, including gym space and related activities | n=274 | \$8.43 |  |  | $n=242$ | \$9.86 | n=516 | \$9.10 |  |
| New or expanded Community Center (community class/meeting rooms, activity spaces, etc ) | $\mathrm{n}=274$ | \$8.23 |  |  | n=242 | \$10.81 | $\mathrm{n}=516$ | \$9.44 |  |
| Expand programs and activities (more teen programs, senior programs, etc ) | $\mathrm{n}=274$ | \$7.58 |  |  | $n=242$ | \$7.17 | $\mathrm{n}=516$ | \$7.39 |  |
| Add outdoor athletic fields and courts | n=274 | \$7.27 |  |  | n=242 | \$12.00 | $\mathrm{n}=516$ | \$9.48 |  |
| Other enhancements (please specify below) | n=274 | \$6.16 |  |  | n=242 | \$11.26 | $\mathrm{n}=516$ | \$8.54 |  |
| Provide more City-wide special events | $\mathrm{n}=274$ | \$5.30 |  |  | n=242 | \$9.06 | $\mathrm{n}=516$ | \$7.05 |  |

## Allocation of Funding

## Adding more pathways is still a top priority. However, respondents allocated a greater amount to expanding aquatics and expanding programs and services in 2015.

Q 19: With $\$ 5$ increments being the smallest amount you might use, if you had $\$ 100$ to spend on parks and recreation facilities, services and/or programs, how would you allocate that $\$ 100$ across the following categories?


## Amenities at new Community Center

The Invite sample and the Open link sample are mostly in agreement of which types of amenities they would like to see at the new community center, with the top priorities being an outdoor plaza/activity space, indoor activity/event space, and fitness/wellness space.

Q 11: What amenities would you like to see included in the new community center? (Check all that apply)


## Programs and Services at New Community Center

Invite respondents would like to see a variety of programs and services offered at the new community center that cater to different ages (children, teens, adults and seniors). Open link respondents are comparatively more interested in community education classes.

Q 12: What programs and services would you like to see offered in the new community center? (Check all that apply)


## Survey Key Findings

## Living in Meridian

Compared to the previous survey in 2015, there are newer residents to Meridian in the 2021 sample. The average length of residency in Meridian in 2015 was 16.5 years and in 2021, it was 11.5 years. Geographically, residents in both surveys were similarly dispersed across the city. Invite respondents are familiar with parks and recreation programs with $46 \%$ rating a 4 or 5 with 5 being "very familiar". Open link respondents are more familiar with parks and recreation opportunities.
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## Park and Program Usage

The most popular parks for both samples are Settlers Park and Julius M. Kleiner Memorial Park. Discovery Park ,Tully Park,
Fuller Park, and Storey Park are also particularly popular. Majority of the Invite sample had not registered for any classes or programs within the past year (89\%), while 11\% had registered (vs. 19\% in 2015). However, 30\% of the Open link sample had previously registered. For household needs, nearly every category showed some interest, with community events topping the list at $57 \%$, followed by fitness and wellness programs ( $38 \%$ ) and swim lessons/aquatic programs (35\%).

## Survey Key Findings



## Communication

Both samples agree that emails from the city (63\%), social media (40\%), the Meridian Parks and Recreation Activity Guide (35\%), and The City of Meridian website (29\%) are the best methods for communication about parks and recreation opportunities. The interest in the all the different methods suggests that residents prefer a variety of communication methods.

## Current Conditions



Pathways and trails are considered the most important facilities/amenities to households, with $86 \%$ of the invite sample rating trails a 4 or 5 , with 5 being "very important". There is also strong interest in picnic shelters, playgrounds, and swimming pools/aquatic facilities. Rodeo grounds were of lesser importance.

The Invite sample indicates that amenities at larger, more athletic-focused facilities are meeting their needs. They are most satisfied with playgrounds, athletic fields, and ball fields. Overall, there is high satisfaction with at least half rating most facilities as a 4 or 5 with 5 being "completely" meeting needs. Swimming pools/aquatic facilities could use a bit more attention, as they are considered relatively important to households but rate lower in terms of meeting needs. Along with aquatics, other top areas of focus for the Invite sample include paths/trails and the community/recreation center.

## Survey Key Findings



## Future Facilities, Amenities and Programs

Invite respondents feel strongly that pathways and trails are the top priority to be added, expanded, or improved in Meridian, mentioned by almost half ( $48 \%$ ) within the top 3 most important needs. After trails, invite respondents rank a community/recreation center highest ( $26 \%$ ), followed by an indoor aquatic facility ( $24 \%$ ), dog parks ( $22 \%$ ), shade structures in parks (18\%), and improved park amenities (16\%). Open link respondents were generally in agreement but pickleball was also a focus for this sample.

The top areas that would increase utilization of parks and facilities are shade (46\%) and awareness of programs/communications (44\%), followed by additional facilities and amenities (24\%) and quality of equipment (23\%).


## Community Center Feasibility

The Invite sample and the Open link sample are mostly in agreement of which types of amenities they would like to see at the new community center, with the top priorities being an outdoor plaza/activity space (64\%), indoor activity/event space (58\%), and fitness/wellness space ( $57 \%$ ). Invite respondents would like to see a variety of programs and services offered at the new community center that cater to different ages (children, teens, adults and seniors). Open link respondents are more interested in community education classes.

## Survey Key Findings

## Values and Vision

The top three community issues that the City of Meridian Parks and Recreation should focus on improving are pathway connectivity ( $47 \%$ ), land preservation/acquisition (32\%), and maintenance of parks and facilities (31\%). The Open link sample agrees on pathway connectivity (44\%) but is also comparatively more interested in developing new parks in under-served areas (36\%).

## Financial Choices

There is a lack of consensus on whether fee increases would affect participation. Invite respondents are more sensitive to fee increases. Overall, there is general satisfaction with the current fees for both facilities and programs. However, more than half of the Invite sample were unsure about the fees for facilities and programs. Very few respondents believe the fees to be too high (4-6\%).

If respondents had $\$ 100$ to spend on parks and recreation facilities, Invite respondents would allocate about $1 / 5$ of the total (about $\$ 20$ ) to adding more pathways. Other top priorities would be making improvements and maintaining existing park facilities (\$14) and expanding aquatics (\$14). Open link respondents are generally in agreement on the top 3 areas of spend but also allocate comparatively more money to outdoor athletic fields and courts.


## Inventory Summary

| Park or Facility | Acres | Ownership | Classification |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \\ \frac{0}{0} \\ \frac{0}{0} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% <br> $\stackrel{\circ}{0}$ <br> $\frac{1}{0}$ <br> $\vdots$ <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 1 | $\begin{gathered} \frac{8}{8} \\ \frac{3}{4} \\ \vdots \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | © |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Discovery Park | 27 | City of Meridian | Regional |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Julius M. Kleiner Park | 58 | City of Meridian | Regional |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 3 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 41 | 12 | 1 |  |  |  | 3 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Settlers Park | 58 | City of Meridian | Regional |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 8 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 17 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bear Creek Park | 19 | City of Meridian | Community |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuller Park | 23 | City of Meridian | Community |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |
| Heroes Park | 30 | City of Meridian | Community |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hillsdale Park | 10 | City of Meridian | Community |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storey Park | 18 | City of Meridian | Community |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tully Park | 19 | City of Meridian | Community |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8th Street Park | 3 | City of Meridian | Neighborhood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Centennial Park | 0.4 | City of Meridian | Neighborhood |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Champion Park | 6 | City of Meridian | Neighborhood |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chateau Park | 7 | City of Meridian | Neighborhood |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gordon Harris Park | 11 | City of Meridian | Neighborhood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Keith Bird Legacy Park | 8 | City of Meridian | Neighborhood |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Renaissance Park | 7 | City of Meridian | Neighborhood |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reta Huskey Park | 9 | City of Meridian | Neighborhood |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seasons Park | 7 | City of Meridian | Neighborhood |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Settlers Village Park | 0.6 | City of Meridian | Neighborhood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City Hall Plaza | 1 | City of Meridian | Special Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Fire Station No. 4 Park | 1 | City of Meridian | Special Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Generations Plaza | 0.2 | City of Meridian | Special Use |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meridian Swimming Pool | 1 | City of Meridian | Special Use | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lakeview Golf Course | 120 | City of Meridian | Golf |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ten Mile Trailhead | 1 | City of Meridian | Trailhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| West Meridian Regional Park Property | 46 | City of Meridian | Future |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meridian Subtotal |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 16 | 1 | 8 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 215 | 516 | 6 | ${ }^{3} 1$ | 0 | 316 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 22 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 12 |

## Inventory Summary (Other Providers)

| Park or Facility | Acres | Ownership | Classification |  |  |  |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \frac{0}{0} \\ \frac{0}{6} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 0 \\ \hline 0 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | cos. |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \underline{0} \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heritage Middle School Ball Fields | 6 | West Ada School D | Sports Parks |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jabil Fields | 8 | West Ada School D | Sports Parks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| USBR Undeveloped | 31 | Bureau of Reclama | Undeveloped |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C.F. McDevitt Park | 39 | Boise | Neighborhood Park |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 7 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Cameron Park | 1 | Boise | Neighborhood Park |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cottonwood Park | 8 | Boise | Neighborhood Park |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peppermint Park | 7 | Boise | Neighborhood Park |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sycamore Park | 7 | Boise | Neighborhood Park |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Inventory Summary (Schools)



## Inventory Summary (HOA and Private Facilities)

| Aquatics, Lap Pool | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Aquatics, Leisure Pool | 30 |
| Aquatics, Therapy Pool | 1 |
| Basketball Court | 9 |
| Basketball, Practice | 15 |
| Disc Golf | 1 |
| Game Court | 1 |
| Horseshoe Court | 1 |
| Loop Walk | 5 |
| Open Turf | 109 |
| Passive Node | 6 |
| Playground, Destination | 4 |
| Playground, Local | 89 |
| Rectangular Field, Multiple | 1 |
| Shelter, Large | 2 |
| Shelter, Small | 49 |
| Tennis Court | 5 |
| Volleyball Court | 4 |
| Water Feature | 1 |
| Water, Open | 4 |

## Mapping Location and Quality of Components




- Parks are very consistent across the board.
- Parks are very well-maintained with high standards
- Restrooms are probably the cleanest of any system I have been to
- Noted: most of the parks have public art
- This was a recommendation from the last plan to try and create identity for each individual park


## Inventory Site Visits

- Many of the parks can benefit aesthetically from increased use of berms and landforms
- Noted: addition of bike repair stations in many parks
- Turf conditions are excellent
- There seems to be a high priority to plant trees in many of the parks



## The Meridian System



## Sort for things such as fitness areas and courses



| Map ID | Park or Facility | Component | Quantity | Neighborhood Score | Community Score | Observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C062 | Fuller Park | Fitness Area | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |
| C146 | Keith Bird Legacy Park | Fitness Area | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |
| C189 | Tully Park | Fitness Area | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |
| C200 | Reta Huskey Park | Fitness Area | 1 | 1 | 1 | Minimal fitness equipment compared to others |
| C220 | Julius M. Kleiner Park | Fitness Area | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |
| C160 | Hillsdale Park | Fitness Course | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |

## Park Score by Classificatior

## Regional Parks

| Park or Facility | Community <br> Score | Neighborhood <br> Score | CLASS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Settlers Park | 382 | 166 | Regional |
| Julius M. Kleiner Park | 339 | 257 | Regional |
| Discovery Park | 86 | 68 | Regional |

## Community Park

| Park or Facility | Community <br> Score | Neighborhood <br> Score | CLASS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Fuller Park | 91 | 70 | Community |
| Tully Park | 73 | 62 | Community |
| Bear Creek Park | 67 | 53 | Community |
| Heroes Park | 62 | 52 | Community |
| Storey Park | 60 | 51 | Community |
| Hillsdale Park | 43 | 38 | Community |

## Park Score by Classificatior

## Neighborhood and Mini Parks

| Park or Facility | Community <br> Score | Neighborhood <br> Score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Keith Bird Legacy Park | 57 | 52 |
| Reta Huskey Park | 55 | 44 |
| Renaissance Park | 52 | 47 |
| Chateau Park | 48 | 43 |
| Champion Park | 43 | 38 |
| Seasons Park | 43 | 38 |
| Centennial Park | 36 | 36 |
| Gordon Harris Park | 29 | 31 |
| 8th Street Park | 4 | 29 |
| Settlers Village Park |  | 4 |

Special Uses (Golf, Sports Parks, Trailhead, Swimming Pool)

| Park or Facility | Community <br> Score | Neighborhood <br> Score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| City Hall Plaza | 34 | 34 |
| Generations Plaza | 24 | 24 |
| Meridian Swimming Pool | 19 | 14 |
| Lakeview Golf Course | 14 | 14 |
| Fire Station No.4 Park | 13 | 13 |
| Ten Mile Trailhead | 7 | 10 |
| Heritage Middle School Ball Fields | 7 | 2 |
| Jabil Fields |  | 4 |
|  |  |  |



Top 10\%
of all park scores

Components, Agencies, Parks

## Comparisons (National Dataset)

## GRASP®: a look back

| Year | 2022 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population | 124,790 | 94,289 |
| City Limit (Acres) | 23,191 | 18,159 |
| \# of Sites (Parks, Facilties, etc.) | 25 | 21 |
| Total \# of Components | 256 | 207 |
| Total GRASP® Value (Entire System) | 1694 | 1317 |
| GRASP® Inde x | 14 | 14 |
| Ave. Score per Site | 67.8 | 62.7 |
| \% of Total Area w/LOS >0 | 97\% | 98\% |
| Average LOS per Acres Served | 179 | 196 |
| Components Per Capita | 2 | 2 |
| Average Los/Population Density | 33 | 38 |
| Population Density (per acre) | 5.4 | 5.2 |
| \% of Population with Walkable Target Access | 68\% | 50\% |
| People per Park | 4992 | 4490 |
| Park per 1k People | 0.20 | 0.22 |

## GRASP® Benchmarking

## (With Comparable Population)



Components / 1k Pop
GVRD, CA - 2
Pearland, TX - 2 Victorville, CA - 1


Components Per Location

Total


Average Score Per Location


Meridian above the averages in all except for total parks and parks per capita

## NRPA 2021 Park Metrics

(With comparable population)

| 2021 NRPA Agency Performance Review: Park and Recreation Agency Performance Benchmarks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outdoor Park and Recreation Facilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Outdoor Facility | Agencies Offering this Facility | Median Number of Residents per Facility | Meridian Residents per Facility | Meridian <br> Current <br> Quantity | Need to add to meet current median | Need to add with population growth |
| Residents Per Park | NA | 3,104 | 4,992 | 21 |  |  |
| Acres of Park Land per 1,000 Residents | NA | 8.9 | 3.9 | 530 | 627 |  |
| Basketball courts | 87.4\% | 8,477 | 41,597 | 3 | 12 | 14 |
| Community gardens | 48.3\% | 72,238 | NA | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Diamond fields: baseball - adult | 51.3\% | 38,899 |  |  | -16 | -15 |
| Diamond fields: baseball - youth | 78.0\% | 12,914 |  |  | -9 | -8 |
| Diamond fields: softball fields - adult | 65.5\% | 28,081 | 6,568 | 19 | -15 | -14 |
| Diamond fields: softball fields - youth | 59.3\% | 26,073 |  |  | -14 | -14 |
| Dog park | 64.9\% | 76,610 | 62,395 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Playgrounds | 94.4\% | 4,804 | 6,240 | 20 | 6 | 10 |
| Rectangular fields: multi-purpose | 66.4\% | 10,792 |  |  | 2 | 3 |
| Rectangular fields: soccer field - adult | 43.6\% | 20,000 | 12,476 | 10 | -4 | -3 |
| Rectangular fields: soccer field - youth | 48.9\% | 12,646 |  |  | 0 | 1 |
| Skate park | 39.3\% | 109,798 | 124,790 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Tennis courts (outdoor only) | 81.4\% | 5,818 | 17,827 | 8 | 13 | 16 |
| Comparison based on median for 100,000 to 250,000 population comparison |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surplus |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Possible Deficit |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Pedestrian Zones



## GRASP® Walkable Access



## Walkable High Value Area

b


## GRASP® Walkable Target



## GRASP® Walkable Access



## \% of Population with Walkable Access to Outdoor Recreation



- Percent Total Area =0

Percent Total Area >0 AND
<Target Score

- Percent Total Area >=Target Score


## GRASP® Neighborhood Access


\% of Population with Neighborhood Access to Outdoor Recreation


- Percent Total Area $=0$

Percent Total Area >0 AND <Target Score

## Recurring Themes

- Continue to create a connected community through pathway development
- Maintain quality of maintenance level of service and funding
- Find future locations for parks to stay ahead of future development and in underserved areas of the community
- Keep up with future changing demographics that comes with growth
- Community center/recreation center are the community's greatest need for indoor facilities
- Pathways and trails are the community's greatest need for outdoor facilities
- Shade and communication are the top 2 areas to address to increase participation
- Email and social media are top 2 best ways to communicate about programs and services


## Next Steps

## Strategic Master Planning Process

## 4 Stages of Public Engagement



Strategic Kick-Off

- Critical Success Factors
- Key focus areas
- Meeting schedule
- Identification of

Key Stakeholders

- Gathering of All Relevant Documents
- Briefing with

Decision Makers


## GreenPlay ${ }_{\text {uc }}$

| Information Gathering | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Findings } \\ \text { \& Visioning }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| - Needs Assessment |  |

- Staff
- Stakeholders
- Public Meeting
- Focus groups

Interviews

- Surveys
- Online engagement
- Inventory
- All Assets
- All Program Locations
- Other Providers
- Level of Service Analysis
- GIS component-based
mapping
- Quality, Quantity

Functionality

- Community Profile
- Historical \& Planning

Context

- Demographics
- Trends
- Presentation/Feedback Sessions
- Staff
- Stakeholders
- Decision Makers
- What We Have Discovered
- Key Issues Matrix
- Key Ideas and Themes
for Improvement
- Analysis
- Programming
- Operations
- Maintenance
- Marketing \&

Communications

- Financial Resources



Typically our Strategic/Master Plans include a 5-year focus on operations, 10-year focus on capital, and 20 year strategic vision. Other elements and tools are added as needed for a community-specific plan.

## Master Plan Future Schedule

$\triangle$ Findings and Visioning Trip

- February 22 - City Council Briefing
- February 23 - Public Findings Presentation
- February 24 - Visioning Workshop Project Team
$\triangle$ Community Center Feasibility Study
- March 30-Open House and Public Meeting
$\triangle$ Cost Recovery
- Workshop 2 A \& B - Last Week of April
- Community Workshops to identify and sort categories of services


## Thank You For Your Time \& Consideration!



Art Thatcher, MPA, CPRP Direct (mobile): 757-592-3103 Art.thatcher@berrydunn.com Manager

Tom Diehl, CPRP
Direct (mobile): 804-833-6994

## GreenPlay is now <br> b) BerryDunn

Tom.diehl@berrydunn.com
Project Manager/Consultant
RRC
ASSOCIATES

